Page 2

<u>REMARKS</u>

Examiners Kelly and Mitchell are thanked for the courtesy extended during the Office Interview on October 22, 2008.

The Interview Summary is believed to accurately reflect what was discussed and agreed to at the Interview.

Reconsideration of the objection to the drawings is hereby requested. The longitudinal guiding device, or telescope, is identified as number 7, as shown, for example, in Fig. 1. Therefore, reconsideration of this objection is respectfully requested.

Reconsideration of the rejection of Claims 1, 4 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Losito (U.S. Patent No. 5,347,757) in view of Dilcher (U.S. Patent No. 4,924,625) and the rejection of Claims 2 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Losito ('757) in view of Dilcher ('625) as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Caimi (U.S. Patent No. 4,644,690) is hereby requested. As stated in the Interview Summary, "Applicant brought proposed claim changes. Applicant explained how amendment now clarified 'last movement' feature such that the guiding part interacts with the curved part of the guiding element so the transverse guiding devices do not move. The Examiner agreed that, based on the explanation and cursory review, it appears the proposed amen[d]ment would read over the applied art. Support for changes pointed out. Longitudinal clarified." Claim 1 has been amended as proposed except that the words "parallel" and "to" have been added after the word "wing" and the word "along" has been deleted in line 10. Claims 2, 4, and 5 have been amended to comport with the changes to Claim 1 and to clarify the claim language. Dependent Claim 6 has been added, support for which is found in paragraph 22 of the Specification. The Specification has been amended (paragraphs 22 and 25), support for which is found in original Claim 1 and the Drawings (Fig. 4). The Drawings have been amended (Fig. 4), support for which is found in original Claim 1 and paragraphs 22 and 25. Therefore, reconsideration of these rejections is respectfully requested.

In view of all of the above, Claims 1-6 and the Application are now in condition for allowance and such is hereby requested.

Attorney Docket No. 566/44072 Application No.: 10/543,054

Page 3

It is respectfully requested that, if necessary to effect a timely response, this paper be considered as a Petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response and shortages in other fees be charged, or any overpayment in fees be credited, to the Account of Barnes & Thornburg LLP, Deposit Account No. 02-1010 (566/44072).

Respectfully submitted,

Richard P. Krinsky Reg. No. 47,720

'Reg. No. 47,720 (202) 289-1313

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

Suite 900

750 17th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006-4607

Enclosure(s): Amendments to the Specification

Amendments to the Claims
Amendment to the Drawing

DC 125917